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#### Abstract

: Due to the extensive application of differential equation, the study of its vibration has been paid much attention. In this paper, we research the oscillation behavior of a class of second order dynamic models. This research is suit to the fields of engineering technique, aviation technology, automation control and so on. We analyse a class of second order neutral differential equation. First, we give a neutral dynamic model and analysis the research status of model. After that, we research its oscillation behavior and found a some of new sufficient conditions of the oscillatory property, a number of new Oscillation criteria for both $\beta \geq \alpha$ and $\beta<\alpha$ are founded for equation by making use of the generalized Riccati transformation and specific analytical skills. Our results are new and these results enrich and refine existing results. The results are verified by numerical experiments. Because of the neutral dynamic system model has an important status and action in engineering technique, aviation technology, automation control. As a result, our research is of big help to engineering technology, aviation technology, and automation control.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we research a class of second order neutral differential equation model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\int_{c}^{d} q(\xi, t) x^{\beta}(\sigma(\xi, t)) d \xi=0 . \quad t_{0} \leq t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $z(t)=x(t)+\int_{a}^{b} p(\xi, t) x(\tau(\xi, t)) d \xi, 0 \leq a<b, \quad 0 \leq c<d, \alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants, and the following are satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{1}\right) p(\xi, t) \in C\left([a, b] \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right), \quad 0 \leq \int_{a}^{b} p(\xi, t) d \xi=p_{0}<1, \quad q(\xi, t) \in C\left([c, d] \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right) . \\
& \left(H_{2}\right) \tau(\xi, t) \in C\left([a, b] \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), \quad \tau(\xi, t) \leq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(\xi, t)=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(H_{3}\right) r(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), \quad r^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad R(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) d s
$$

$$
\left(H_{4}\right) \sigma(\xi, t) \in C^{1}\left([c, d] \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), 0<\sigma(\xi, t) \leq t, \sigma_{1}(t)=\sigma(t, d), \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)>0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty .
$$

$\left(H_{5}\right) f:\left[t_{0}, \infty\right] \times R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function such that $u f(u, t)>0$ for all $u \neq 0$ and there exists a function $q(\xi, t) \in C\left([c, d] \times\left[t_{0}, \infty\right]\right)$ such that $|f(u, t)| \geq q(\xi, t)\left|u^{\beta}\right|$.

We refer to some relevant references, many references only study $\beta \geq \alpha$ or only study $\beta<\alpha$ this situation [1-4]. In this paper, we have not only studied $\beta \geq \alpha$, and also studied $\beta<\alpha$, a number of new oscillation criteria are founded for equation (1) by making use of the generalized Riccati transformation and specific analytical skills.

## II.MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 1 [5]. Assume that $\theta>0, A>0, B \in R$, then $B u-A u^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta}} \leq \frac{\theta^{\theta}}{(\theta+1)^{\theta+1}} \frac{B^{\theta+1}}{A^{\theta}}$.

Theorem 1. Assume that $\pi(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) d s$, There exists $\rho(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right],[0, \infty]\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)-\frac{r(\theta(t))\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\lambda+1}}{(\lambda+1)^{\lambda+1}\left(M \rho(t) \sigma_{1}(t)\right)^{\lambda}}\right] d t=\infty .  \tag{2}\\
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[Q_{2}(t) \pi^{\delta}(t)-\frac{\mu}{\pi(t) r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)}\right] d t=\infty . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda=\min \{\alpha, \beta\}, \delta=\max \{\alpha, \beta\}, \mu \text { is an constant }, \\
Q_{1}(t)=\int_{c}^{d} q(\xi, t)[1-p(\sigma(\xi, t))]^{\beta} d \xi, Q_{2}(t)=\int_{c}^{d} q(\xi, t)[1-\bar{p}(\sigma(\xi, t))]^{\beta} d \xi, \\
\bar{p}(t)=p(t) \frac{\pi\left(\tau_{1}(t)\right)}{\pi(t)}, \quad \theta(t)= \begin{cases}\sigma_{1}(t), & \beta \geq \alpha \\
t, & \beta<\alpha\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then equation (1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $x(t)$ is an positive solution of equation (1) and $x(t) \geq 1$, there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, such that, for $t \geq t_{1}$, we have

$$
\left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0
$$

Hence $r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing function and it is fixed symbol function. Thus, there have Two possible situations for $z^{\prime}(t), z^{\prime}(t)>0$ or $z^{\prime}(t)<0$.
(i) Assume that $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t_{1} \leq t$, there have

$$
\begin{gathered}
z(t)=x(t)+\int_{a}^{b} p(\xi, t) x(\tau(\xi, t)) d \xi \leq x(t)+\int_{a}^{b} p(\xi, t) z(\tau(\xi, t)) d \xi \\
\leq x(t)+z(t) \int_{a}^{b} p(\xi, t) d \xi \leq x(t)+z(t) p(t) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, from the last inequality, we have

$$
x(t) \geq[1-p(t)] z(t)
$$

We obtain

$$
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+\int_{c}^{d} q(\xi, t)[1-p(\sigma(\xi, t))]^{\beta} z^{\beta}(\sigma(\xi, t)) d \xi \leq 0
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}+Q_{1}(t) z^{\beta}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right) \leq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the function

$$
w(t)=\rho(t) \frac{r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{z^{\beta}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)-\frac{\rho(t) r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \beta z^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right) \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{z^{\beta+1}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)} . \\
& \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)-\frac{\beta \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t) z^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)}{(\rho(t) r(t))^{1 / \alpha} z^{\prime}(t)}\left[z\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)\right]^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1} w^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case1: Assume that $\beta \geq \alpha$, thus there exists $M_{1}>0$, such that

$$
\left[z\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)\right]^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1} \geq M_{1} .
$$

That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)-\frac{M_{1} \beta \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t) z^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)}{(\rho(t) r(t))^{1 / \alpha} z^{\prime}(t)} w^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)-\frac{M_{1} \alpha \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t) z^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)}{(\rho(t) r(t))^{1 / \alpha} z^{\prime}(t)} w^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}$ is decreasing function.

Thus, we have

$$
r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \leq r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right) z^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right),\left(\frac{r(t)}{r\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{z^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)}{z^{\prime}(t)} .
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-\frac{\alpha M_{1} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{\left(\rho(t) r\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)\right)^{1 / \alpha}} w^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: Assume that $\beta<\alpha$, we have

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-\frac{\beta \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t) z^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)}{(\rho(t) r(t))^{1 / \beta}}\left[z^{\prime}(t)\right]^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} w^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}(t) .
$$

Since $\left(r(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0\right.$, we have

$$
r^{\prime}(t)\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}+\alpha\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha-1} z^{\prime \prime}(t) r(t) \leq 0 .
$$

Hence, we get $z^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0, \quad z^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing function, thus that, $\quad z^{\prime}(t) \leq z^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right)$, we have

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-\frac{\beta \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{(\rho(t) r(t))^{1 / \beta}}\left[z^{\prime}(t)\right]^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} w^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}(t) .
$$

Since $z^{\prime}(t)>0$, and $z^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing function, hence $[z(t)]^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}$ is increasing function, thus there exists a constant $M_{2}>0$, such that $[z(t)]^{1-\frac{\pi}{\beta}} \geq M_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-\frac{\beta M_{2} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{(\rho(t) r(t))^{1 / \beta}} w^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}(t) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5) and (6), let $\lambda=\min \{\alpha, \beta\}, \quad M=\min \{\alpha, \beta\}, \quad \theta(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\sigma_{1}(t), & \beta \geq \alpha \\ t, & \beta<\alpha\end{array}\right.$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-\frac{\lambda M \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{(\rho(t) r(\theta(t)))^{1 / 2}} w^{\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda}}(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using lemma 1, thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t) \leq-Q_{1}(t) \rho(t)+\frac{r(\theta(t))\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\lambda+1}}{(\lambda+1)^{\lambda+1}\left(M \rho(t) \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\lambda}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrting (8) from $T$ to $t$ and using (2), we obtain

$$
w(t) \leq w(T)-\int_{T}^{t}\left[\rho(s) Q_{1}(s)-\frac{r(\theta(s))\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\lambda+1}}{(\lambda+1)^{\lambda+1}\left(M \rho(s) \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\lambda}}\right] d s \rightarrow-\infty \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

Which contradicts to the fact $w(t)>0$, therefore, $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ is impossible.
(ii) Assume that $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t_{1} \leq t$, we have

$$
\left(r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0
$$

Thus $r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}$ is an increasing function, for $s \geq t \geq T$, we have

$$
r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)\left(-z^{\prime}(s)\right) \geq r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

Or

$$
z^{\prime}(s) \leq r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) z^{\prime}(t)
$$

We obtain

$$
z(l)-z(t) \leq r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t) z^{\prime}(t) \int_{t}^{l} r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) d s
$$

Or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \geq r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right) \pi(t) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\pi(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) d s
$$

## Since

$$
z(t) \leq x(t)+p(t) \frac{\pi\left(\tau_{1}(t)\right)}{\pi(t)} z(t) \leq x(t)+\bar{p}(t) z(t)
$$

Or

$$
x(t) \geq(1-\bar{p}(t)) z(t), \quad x^{\beta}(\sigma(\xi, t)) \geq\left(1-\bar{p}(\sigma(\xi, t))^{\beta} z^{\beta}(\sigma(\xi, t) .\right.
$$

We have

$$
\left(r(t)\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1}\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}-Q_{2}(t) z^{\beta}\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Where

$$
Q_{2}(t)=\int_{c}^{d} q(\xi, t)[1-\bar{p}(\sigma(\xi, t))]^{\beta} d \xi, \quad \sigma_{1}(t)=\sigma(t, d)
$$

Since $\sigma_{1}(t) \leq t, \quad z^{\prime}(t)<0$, thus that $z\left(\sigma_{1}(t)\right) \geq z(t)$, we have

$$
\left(r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} \geq Q_{2}(t) z^{\beta}(t)
$$

We using the generalized Riccati transformation

$$
V(t)=\frac{r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}}{z^{\beta}(t)}
$$

Case1: Assume that $\beta<\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(t) \geq Q_{2}(t)+\frac{\beta r(t)\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}}{z^{\beta+1}(t)} \geq Q_{2}(t)+\frac{M_{1}}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)} V^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M_{1}$ is a constant.

Case2: Assume that $\beta \geq \alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(t) \geq Q_{2}(t)+\frac{\beta\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}}{r^{\frac{1}{\beta}}(t)} V^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}(t) \geq Q_{2}(t)+\frac{M_{2}}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)} V^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta}}(t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M_{1}$ is a constant.
Let $M=\min \left\{M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}, \delta=\max \{\alpha, \beta\}$, from (10) and (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(t) \geq Q_{2}(t)+\frac{M}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)} V^{\frac{\delta+1}{\delta}}(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9), when $\alpha>\beta$, we have

$$
\left.L_{1} \geq z^{\alpha-\beta}(t) \geq \pi^{\alpha}(t) V(t)\right)
$$

When $\alpha \leq \beta$, we have

$$
\left.L_{2} \geq \frac{1}{r^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}\left(-z^{\prime}(t)\right)} \geq \pi^{\beta}(t) V(t)\right)
$$

Where $L_{1}, L_{2}$ are constants, let $L=\max \left\{L_{1}, L_{2}\right\}$, we have

$$
\left.\pi^{\delta}(t) V(t)\right) \leq L
$$

From (12), we have

$$
\pi^{\delta}(t) Q_{2}(t) \leq \pi^{\delta}(t) V^{\prime}(t)-\frac{M \pi^{\delta}(t)}{r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(t)} V^{\frac{\delta+1}{\delta}}(t)
$$

Or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{T}^{t} \pi^{\delta}(s) Q_{2}(s) d s \leq \int_{T}^{t} \pi^{\delta}(s) V^{\prime}(s) d s-\int_{T}^{t} \frac{M \pi^{\delta}(s)}{V^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)} V^{\frac{\delta+1}{\delta}}(s) d s \\
& \leq 2 M+\int_{T}^{t} \pi^{\delta-1}(s) r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)\left[\delta V(s)-M \pi(s) V^{\frac{\delta+1}{\delta}}(s)\right] d s \\
& \leq 2 M+\int_{T}^{t} \frac{\mu}{\pi(s) r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\mu=\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta+1}\right)^{\delta+1}\left(\frac{\delta}{M}\right)^{\delta}$
Therefore, we have

$$
\int_{T}^{t}\left[\pi^{\delta}(s) Q_{2}(s)-\frac{\mu}{\pi(s) r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)}\right] d s \leq 2 M
$$

The above inequality is contradictory to condition (3), therefore, the proof is complete.

## III. CONCLUSION

In this paper, We have not only studied $\beta \geq \alpha$ and also studied $\beta<\alpha$, a number of new oscillation criteria are founded for equation (1) by making use of the generalized Riccati transformation and specific analytical skills.
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